

Helminths of red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and raccoon dogs (*Nyctereutes procyonoides*) in Lithuania

RASA BRUŽINSKAITĖ-SCHMIDHALTER^{1,2†}, MINDAUGAS ŠARKŪNAS^{1†*},
ALVYDAS MALAKAUSKAS¹, ALEXANDER MATHIS², PAUL R. TORGERSON³
and PETER DEPLAZES²

¹ Veterinary Academy, Lithuanian University of Health Science, Tilžės Street 18, LT-47181 Kaunas, Lithuania

² Institute of Parasitology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 266a, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

³ Section of Veterinary Epidemiology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 260, CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

(Received 5 July 2011; revised 29 August 2011; accepted 29 August 2011; first published online 14 October 2011)

SUMMARY

Red foxes and raccoon dogs are hosts for a wide range of parasites including important zoonotic helminths. The raccoon dog has recently invaded into Europe from the east. The contribution of this exotic species to the epidemiology of parasitic diseases, particularly parasitic zoonoses is unknown. The helminth fauna and the abundance of helminth infections were determined in 310 carcasses of hunted red foxes and 99 of raccoon dogs from Lithuania. Both species were highly infected with *Alaria alata* (94·8% and 96·5% respectively) and *Trichinella* spp. (46·6% and 29·3%). High and significantly different prevalences in foxes and raccoon dogs were found for *Eucoleus aerophilus* (97·1% and 30·2% respectively), *Crenosoma vulpis* (53·8% and 15·1%), *Capillaria plica* (93·3% and 11·3%), *C. putorii* (29·4% and 51·5%), *Toxocara canis* (40·5% and 17·6%) and *Uncinaria stenocephala* (76·9% and 98·8%). The prevalences of the rodent-transmitted cestodes *Echinococcus multilocularis*, *Taenia polyacantha*, *T. crassiceps* and *Mesocestoides* spp. were significantly higher in foxes than in raccoon dogs. The abundances of *E. multilocularis*, *Mesocestoides*, *Taenia*, *C. plica* and *E. aerophilus* were higher in foxes than those in raccoon dogs. *A. alata*, *U. stenocephala*, *C. putorii* and *Echinostomatidae* had higher abundances in raccoon dogs. The difference in prevalence and abundance of helminths in both animals may reflect differences in host ecology and susceptibility. The data are consistent with red foxes playing a more important role than raccoon dogs in the transmission of *E. multilocularis* in Lithuania.

Key words: helminths, *Echinococcus multilocularis*, red foxes, *Vulpes vulpes*, raccoon dogs, *Nyctereutes procyonoides*, Lithuania.

INTRODUCTION

Red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and raccoon dogs (*Nyctereutes procyonoides*) are widely distributed in Europe and are hosts for a broad range of parasites including important zoonotic helminths such as *Trichinella* spp., *Toxocara canis* and *Echinococcus multilocularis*. In contrast to the red fox, which is a native species, raccoon dogs were introduced from the Far East and are currently among the most common wild carnivores in Baltic countries (Kowalczyk, 2006). Recent experimental studies have shown that raccoon dogs are highly susceptible to intestinal *E. multilocularis* infections (Kapel *et al.* 2006; Thompson *et al.* 2006). Natural helminth infections have also been documented in several studies in Europe (Machnicka-Rowinska *et al.* 2002; Shimalov and Shimalov, 2002; Kirjušina, M. unpublished data in Bagrade *et al.* 2008; Hurnikova *et al.* 2009; Schwarz *et al.* 2011). However, the relevance of the raccoon dog as a definitive host and its contribution to

parasite transmission remains controversial (Yimam *et al.* 2002; Kapel *et al.* 2006; Romig *et al.* 2006).

Several studies on the helminths of red foxes have been undertaken in Europe but only a few originate from Eastern Europe. Reports from Belarus (Shimalov and Shimalov, 2003), Hungary (Sréter *et al.* 2003) Estonia (Moks *et al.* 2005), and Latvia (Bagrade *et al.* 2008) have demonstrated high helminth prevalence and a varied helminth fauna in red foxes.

In Lithuania, a recent study has revealed that wild carnivores are highly infected with helminths including *E. multilocularis*, and human alveolar echinococcosis is of increasing concern (Bružinskaite *et al.* 2007). Therefore, we undertook a comparative study of red foxes and raccoon dogs to investigate their helminth fauna and the abundances of helminth infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling and examination of red foxes and raccoon dogs

Between 2001 and 2006, 310 carcasses of hunted red foxes and 99 raccoon dogs were collected from

* Corresponding author: Department of Infectious Diseases, Veterinary Academy, Lithuanian University of Health Science, Tilžės Street 18, 47181 Kaunas, Lithuania. Tel: +370 7 363 559. E-mail: minsar@lva.lt
† These authors contributed equally to this study.

22 districts in various parts of Lithuania. Carcasses were collected every week during the hunting season (October–March). Animals were labelled and sex, age, locality and date of death were recorded. The age was determined according to dental development as described by Giraudoux *et al.* (2001). According to these criteria, animals were allocated into 2 groups: juveniles (≤ 1 year; foxes $n=22$; raccoon dogs $n=27$) and adults (>1 year; foxes $n=283$; raccoon dogs $n=72$). Due to skull damage, the age could not be estimated in 5 animals. During dissection all internal organs (trachea, lungs, urinary bladder, esophagus, kidneys, heart, and stomach) were separated from the gastrointestinal tract. Necropsy and investigation of intestines were carried out following strict safety precautions (Hofer *et al.* 2000).

The samples from 41 red foxes and 14 raccoon dogs were examined by the washing and sieving technique to detect the presence of systemic helminths in the trachea, lungs, heart, renal pelvis, urinary bladder, liver, gall bladder and stomach. Briefly, organs were opened and washed with tap water through 212 μm and 53 μm mesh sieves (Endecotts Ltd, London, UK) and examined under a stereomicroscope. Liver lobes were cut into slices of 1 cm size, and flukes were carefully squeezed out from bile ducts into a Petri dish. Furthermore, liver slices and opened gall bladders were washed with tap water through the sieves (212 μm and 53 μm mesh size). Stomach contents were collected and washed through 500 μm and 212 μm mesh sieves and examined with the stereomicroscope.

To detect the presence of intestinal helminths (Table 1), the small and large intestines from 269 red fox and 85 raccoon dogs were opened and visually examined. The abundance of intestinal helminth infection was enumerated in each animal by the sedimentation and counting technique (SCT) according to Hofer *et al.* (2000).

Larvae of *Trichinella* spp. were detected by the artificial pepsin–HCl digestion technique using 20 g of forelimb muscle (*m. tricepsbrachii*, *m. biceps brachii*) (Malakauskas *et al.* 2007).

Helminth species identification

The helminth species were identified according to morphological features and, in some cases, confirmed by PCR. *E. multilocularis* was identified according to the general size of the worm and the shape of the uterus of the last gravid segment (Eckert and Deplazes, 2004). Other helminth species were identified according to Skrjabin (1947, 1948), Skrjabin *et al.* (1960), Abuladze (1964), Soulsby (1982) and Bowman (1999), while *Trichinella* spp. larvae were not identified to species level.

Morphological identification of *Taenia* spp. was performed according to hook measurements, shape, and general appearance of the cestode. Due to

similarities in hook size of some *Taenia* spp., special attention was paid to the shape of the hooks (Verster, 1969). According to these criteria, *Taenia* spp. were grouped into *T. polyacantha*-like, *T. crassiceps*-like and *T. taeniaeformis*-like species. To confirm the morphological identification, a multiplex PCR (Trachsel *et al.* 2007) followed by sequence analysis was performed with cestodes from each group (*T. polyacantha*-like, $n=6$; *T. crassiceps*-like, $n=2$, *T. taeniaeformis*-like, $n=1$). Due to deep freezing of samples some cestodes had lost their hooks. Therefore, the number of hooks was not recorded.

Statistical analysis

A mixed modelling approach was used to analyse the abundance of parasite infection in both species of hosts. Data were imported into R (www.r-project.org) for analysis. Initially, for each parasite, the mathematical distribution that produced the best description of the abundance data was determined. All data were highly aggregated and hence zero inflated Poisson, negative binomial and zero inflated negative binomial modes were examined. This was achieved by comparing the AIC for each null model (i.e. with just an intercept). Once the appropriate distribution was chosen, confidence intervals of the mean abundance of parasites were calculated using a likelihood profile. The constant of aggregation was also calculated. There were 5 sampling seasons (5 autumn–winters seasons) and animals were also sampled in a number of different districts. Therefore, sampling season and district were assumed to be a mixed effect. The data for each host were divided into those animals sampled in the autumn (October–December) and those animals that were sampled in the winter (January–March). Using such an approach, hypotheses regarding variations in infection pressure due to availability of prey or differences in activity of the hosts could be explored. The final analyses examined the factors of age, gender and sampling season (autumn/winter) as fixed effects and sampling year and district as random effects, utilizing the appropriate general linear mixed model or zero inflated mixed model.

The parasite abundance and prevalence were also analysed with respect to the stomach contents found at post-mortem. As these were generally different sample sizes (because the stomach contents were only available for a subpopulation of these animals), a separate analysis to examine these factors was undertaken.

The significance of parasite abundance between the different host species can be estimated from the likelihood profile. Thus, if the mean abundance in one host is greater or less than the 95% CIs of the mean abundance in the other host, then there is a significant difference. Due to the highly aggregated

Table 1. Prevalence of helminth species isolated from red foxes and raccoon dogs in Lithuania

Helminths	Red foxes			Raccoon dogs		
	No. pos./exam.	%	95%CI	No. pos./exam.	%	95% CI
Nematodes						
<i>Uncinaria stenocephala</i> ^a	207/269	76.9	70.7–81.2	84/85	98.8	90.0–99.3
<i>Toxocara canis</i> ^a	109/269	40.5	34.6–46.7	15/85	17.6	5.8–20.6
<i>Capillaria plica</i> ^b	97/104	93.3	86.6–97.3	6/53	11.3	4.3–23.0
<i>Eucoleus aerophilus</i> ^b	101/104	97.1	91.8–99.4	16/53	30.2	18.3–44.3
<i>Capillaria putorii</i> ^a	91/310	29.4	24.3–34.8	51/99	51.5	41.3–61.7
<i>Crenosoma vulpis</i> ^b	56/104	53.8	43.8–63.7	8/53	15.1	6.7–27.6
<i>Trichinella</i> ^{c,d}	96/206	46.6	39.6–53.7	22/75	29.3	19.4–41.0
<i>Mastophorus muris</i> ^{a,e}	4/269	1.5	0.4–3.8	—	—	—
<i>Syphacia obvelata</i> ^{a,e}	23/310	7.4	4.8–10.9	2/85	2.4	0.3–8.2
<i>Heligmosomum costellatum</i> ^{a,e}	73/310	23.5	18.9–28.7	5/85	5.9	1.9–13.2
Cestodes						
<i>Echinococcus multilocularis</i> ^a	158/269	58.7	52.6–64.7	7/85	8.2	3.4–16.2
<i>Mesocostoides</i> ^{a,d}	211/269	78.4	73.0–83.2	26/85	30.6	21.0–41.5
<i>Taenia polyacantha</i> ^a	166/269	61.7	55.6–67.5	5/85	5.9	1.9–13.2
<i>Taenia crassiceps</i> ^a	71/269	26.4	21.2–32.1	3/85	3.5	0.7–10.0
<i>Taenia taeniaeformis</i> ^a	10/269	3.7	1.8–6.7	—	—	—
Trematodes						
<i>Alaria alata</i> ^a	255/269	94.8	91.4–97.1	82/85	96.5	90.0–99.3
<i>Alaria alata</i> metacercaria ^b	37/104	35.6	26.4–45.6	45/53	86.8	74.7–94.5
<i>Echinostomatidae</i> ^{a,d}	4/269	1.5	0.4–3.8	9/85	10.6	5.0–19.2
<i>Opisthorchis felinus</i> ^b	3/104	2.9	0.6–8.2	—	—	—

^a SCT (Hofer *et al.* 2000).

^b Helminthological examination.

^c Artificial pepsin–HCl digestion of *m. triceps brachii* (Malakauskas *et al.* 2007).

^d Species not determined.

^e Rodent-specific nematode species.

nature of parasite abundances, the confidence intervals of parasite abundances are highly asymmetric. Thus, the parasite abundance in one species may be outside the confidence limits of the abundance in the second species, but nevertheless the mean abundance of the second species may lie within the confidence limits of the first species. Where this occurred, evidence of significance was estimated by calculating the AIC of the null model when the abundance data were pooled and comparing it to the AIC of the model when it was in 2 populations. If the AIC was lower when the data were in 2 populations it indicates that there was a significant difference in the means.

Prevalence of *E. multilocularis* (positive/negative) in animals of different age and sex were cross-tabulated and analysed using two-tailed Fisher's Exact Test. For the prevalence 95% exact binominal confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated.

RESULTS

Red foxes and raccoon dogs were infected with the same variety of helminth genera and species in the intestines, lungs, liver, gall bladder and urinary bladder (Table 1). Occasionally, cestodes, nematodes, or trematodes were detected in the stomach of investigated animals and were added to those found in the intestines.

Eucoleus aerophilus (*Capillaria aerophila*) (97.1%), *A. alata* (94.8%) and *Capillaria plica* (93.3%) were the most prevalent species in red foxes. Respectively, 98.8% of raccoon dogs were infected with *Uncinaria stenocephala* and 96.5% with *A. alata*. *E. multilocularis* (58.7%), *T. canis* (40.5%) and *Trichinella* spp. (46.6%) were highly prevalent among foxes while raccoon dogs had lower prevalences (Table 1). The morphological identification of the *Taenia* spp. was confirmed genetically in 9 cases (6 × *T. polyacantha*, 2 × *T. crassiceps* and 1 × *T. taeniaeformis*) examined by sequence identities of >99.4% with corresponding sequences deposited in the GenBank. All 3 *Taenia* spp. identified are rodent-transmitted species, and prevalences were higher in foxes than those in raccoon dogs (Table 1).

In suburban areas of Kaunas, the second largest city of Lithuania, 45 fox samples were collected. Of those, 53% (24/45, 95% CI 37.9–68.3) were infected with *E. multilocularis*, 47% (21/45, 95% CI 31.7–62.1) with *T. canis* and 9 of 45 (20%; 95% CI 9.6–34.6) foxes had co-infections with *T. canis* and *E. multilocularis*.

Statistical analysis is summarized in Tables 2 and 3. With all parasites, the best mathematical probability distribution was the negative binomial, and the mean abundance and 95% confidence intervals are given. Likewise, the aggregation constant for each

Table 2. Parasite abundance in red foxes and significant risk factors for infection

Parasite	Factors	Parasite abundance (95% CIs)	k	Regression parameter (SE)	Incident rate ratio (95% CIs)
<i>E. multilocularis</i>	Juvenile*	526 (369–785)	0.10 (0.084–0.12)	–0.74 (0.10)	0.48 (0.39–0.59)
	Male*			1.24 (0.018)	3.44 (3.32–3.57)
	Sampled in winter			–1.16 (0.023)	0.31 (0.30–0.33)
<i>Alaria alata</i>	Juvenile*	111 (93.5–130)	0.52 (0.45–0.60)	–0.40 (0.19)	0.67 (0.46–0.97)
	Sampled in winter*			–0.56 (0.042)	0.57 (0.52–0.62)
<i>Mesocestoides</i> spp. ^a	Juvenile*	99 (78–127)	0.24 (0.20–0.28)	–0.57 (0.10)	0.56 (0.47–0.68)
	Male *			–0.28 (0.02)	0.75 (0.73–0.78)
<i>Taenia</i> spp.	Juvenile	9.20 (7.54–11.33)	0.35 (0.29–0.42)	0.39 (0.065)	1.48 (1.30–1.68)
	Male*			0.21 (0.026)	1.23 (1.17–1.29)
	Sampled in winter*			–0.27 (0.043)	0.76 (0.70–0.82)
<i>Uncinaria stenocephala</i>	Male	6.59 (5.61–7.79)	0.57 (0.46–0.69)	0.40 (0.018)	1.50 (1.45–1.55)
<i>Toxocara canis</i> ^b	Male*	1.36 (1.06–1.78)	0.25 (0.19–0.31)	0.69 (0.049)	2.01 (1.82–2.21)
	Sampled in winter*			–0.57 (0.11)	0.57 (0.45–0.71)
<i>Capillaria putorii</i>	Juvenile	2.92 (1.89–4.84)	0.066 (0.047–0.084)	–2.35 (0.92)	0.095 (0.015–0.58)
	*Male			–0.14 (0.07)	0.89 (0.76–0.99)
	Sampled in winter*			0.87 (0.078)	2.39 (2.05–2.39)
<i>Capillaria plica</i> ^c	Male	22.1 (17.6–28.3)		0.33 (0.04)	1.39 (1.37–1.51)
	Sampled in winter			0.76 (0.06)	2.14 (1.92–2.39)
<i>Eucoleus aerophilus</i> ^c	Male	14.9 (12.3–18.2)	1.03 (0.98–1.28)	0.32 (0.04)	1.38 (1.26–1.51)
	Sampled in winter			0.58 (0.05)	1.79 (1.62–1.96)
<i>Crenosoma vulpis</i> ^c	Juvenile	5.49 (3.75–8.49)	0.23 (0.16–0.30)	2.26 (0.55)	9.66 (3.27–28.56)
	Male			0.83 (0.08)	2.30 (2.06–2.56)
	Sampled in winter			0.28 (0.07)	1.32 (1.17–1.51)
<i>Echinostomatidae</i> ^a		0.015 (0.004–0.05)	0.021(0.001–0.07)		

* There were also significant interactions between factors (data not shown).

^a The species was not determined.

^b The district was not significant as a random effect.

^c Samples were only taken from one season, hence only district was a random effect.

Table 3. Parasite abundance in raccoon dogs and significant risk factors for infection

Parasite	Factors	Parasite abundance (95% CIs)	k	Regression parameter (SE)	Incident rate ratio (95% CIs)
<i>E. multilocularis</i>		41 (8.67–934)	0.010 (0.004–0.016)		
	Sampled in winter			– 6.06 (0.82)	0.002 (0.0005–0.01)
<i>Alaria alata</i>		1524 (1056–2316)	0.30 (0.23–0.36)		
	Male*			0.93 (0.07)	2.53 (2.20–2.90)
	Sampled in winter*			– 2.60 (0.18)	0.074 (0.052–0.10)
<i>Mesocestoides</i> spp. ^{a,b}		9.56 (4.74–23.8)	0.07 (0.04–0.10)		
	Juvenile			0.93 (0.25)	2.53 (1.54–4.15)
	Male			2.50 (0.15)	12.18 (9.09–16.31)
<i>Taenia</i> spp. ^c		1.64 (0.055–8.79)	0.026 (0.006–0.046)		
<i>Uncinaria stenocephala</i> ^b		26.3 (21.5–32.6)	1.10 (0.90–1.30)		
	Sampled in winter			0.74 (0.07)	2.10 (1.82–2.42)
<i>Toxocara canis</i>		0.59 (0.28–1.49)			
	Male			1.89 (0.69)	6.60 (1.70–25.61)
<i>Capillaria putorii</i>		45.5 (24.6–98.4)	0.097 (0.064–0.130)		
	Juvenile			0.39 (0.097)	1.48 (1.23–1.80)
	Male			0.54 (0.091)	1.71 (1.43–2.05)
	Sampled in winter			– 1.17 (0.34)	0.31 (0.15–0.61)
<i>C. plica</i> ^{c,d}		0.42 (0.14–1.80)	0.054 (0.018–0.150)		
<i>Eucoleus aerophilus</i>		0.81 (0.45–1.56)	0.25 (0.07–0.43)		
	Sampled in winter			– 1.49 (0.43)	0.22 (0.10–0.52)
<i>Crenosoma vulpis</i> ^d		8.51 (2.36–77.4)	0.028 (0.010–0.041)		
	Male			5.22 (1.21)	184 (17–1981)
<i>Echinostomatidae</i> ^{a,b}		0.45 (0.18–1.50)	0.072 (0.047–0.104)		
	Male			– 1.82 (0.31)	0.16 (0.09–0.29)

* There were also significant interactions between factors (data not shown).

^a The species was not determined.

^b The season was not significant as a random effect.

^c There were no significant associations.

^d Samples were only taken from one season; hence only district was a random effect.

parasite is detailed in the table. Significant regressors that are associated with the mean abundance of each parasite are presented. The regression parameter and its standard error are reported. This is also converted into the incident rate ratio and its 95% confidence intervals. The incident rate ratio gives the relative abundance in animals in the presence or absence of that factor when all other regressors are held constant. Thus, a juvenile male fox sampled in winter would have a mean abundance of 0.31 (CIs 0.30–0.33) *E. multilocularis* compared to that of a juvenile male fox sampled in the autumn. The mixed model suggested that the age of the fox was significant in determining the mean abundance with *E. multilocularis*, *A. alata*, *Mesocostoides* spp., *Taenia* spp., *C. putorii* and *Crenosoma vulpis*. The sex of the animal was a significant regressor with *E. multilocularis*, *Mesocostoides* spp., *Taenia* spp., *U. stenocephala*, *T. canis*, *Capillaria* spp., *E. aerophilus* and *C. vulpis*. Winter sampling was significant for *E. multilocularis*, *A. alata*, *Taenia* spp., *T. canis*, *Capillaria* spp., *E. aerophilus* and *C. vulpis*. Likewise, in raccoon dogs age was significant with *Mesocostoides* spp. and *C. putorii*. The sex of the animal was significant with *A. alata*, *Mesocostoides* spp., *T. canis*, *C. putorii*, *C. vulpis* and *Echinostomatidae*. Winter sampling was significant with *E. multilocularis*, *A. alata*, *U. stenocephala*, *C. putorii* and *E. aerophilus*.

Abundances of the different parasites were analysed concerning several risk factors including age, sex and season and compared between foxes and raccoon dogs. Thus, in Tables 2 and 3 it can easily be seen that the abundance of *Mesocostoides* spp., *Taenia* spp., *C. plica*, and *E. aerophilus* were higher in foxes than in raccoon dogs. *A. alata*, *U. stenocephala*, *C. putorii* and *Echinostomatidae* had higher abundances in raccoon dogs. *E. multilocularis* also had a higher mean abundance in foxes compared to raccoon dogs despite the mean lying within the upper 95% CI of the estimate of the mean of raccoon dogs. In this case, the AIC of the negative binomial model with 2 populations of parasites from the 2 hosts was lower than the AIC of the negative binomial model fit using the pooled data.

DISCUSSION

The present study showed that red foxes and raccoon dogs share a similar range of helminth species. However, the variability and abundance was different when compared to observations reported in previous studies from Lithuania (Kazlauskas and Prūsaite, 1976) and Belarus (Shimalov and Shimalov, 2002, 2003).

Differences in parasite abundance might be explained by the different biology and ecology of these 2 canine species. In Lithuania, the diet of raccoon dogs mainly involves amphibians whilst red foxes prefer

rodents (Baltrūnaitė, 2002). In the present study, undertaken in winter, most of the raccoon dogs' stomachs investigated were empty or filled with vegetables only (data not shown). In contrast, rodents were found in 79.9% of the fox stomachs. Therefore, it is not surprising that more than half of the red foxes were infected with the rodent-transmitted cestodes *E. multilocularis* and *T. polyacantha* compared to a significantly lower proportion (*E. multilocularis*–8.2%; *T. polyacantha*–5.9%) of raccoon dogs. The abundance of *E. multilocularis* was also significantly higher in foxes. The same phenomenon of higher prevalences in foxes than in raccoon dogs from the same area was observed in studies from Belarus (7.5%; 7/94 in foxes and 0%; 0/78 in raccoon dogs; Shimalov and Shimalov, 2002, 2003), Latvia (36%; 16/45 in foxes and 21%; 12/57 in raccoon dogs; Bagrade *et al.* 2008) and in Japan (56.7%; 38/67 in foxes and 23.1%; 3/13 in raccoon dogs; Yimam *et al.* 2002). Therefore, we can hypothesize that although raccoon dogs are highly susceptible for patent infections with *E. multilocularis* (Kapel *et al.* 2006; Thompson *et al.* 2006) they may not play an important role in parasite transmission. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that raccoon dogs hibernate during winter in the Nordic countries (Ward and Wurster-Hill, 1990; Sheldon, 1992). During hibernation, food consumption, migration and defecation are reduced. Additionally, the special habit of raccoon dogs to defecate at few definite sites (latrines) (Prūsaitė *et al.* 1988) may limit the contamination of vole habitats with helminth eggs.

Significantly lower abundances of *E. multilocularis*, *Alaria* sp. and *C. putorii* were recorded in animals of both species sampled in the winter as compared to those sampled in the autumn. This could be associated with lesser availability of food, particularly prey species in the winter months. This association presumably may have had an impact on seasonal reduction in the abundance of *E. multilocularis* being much more marked in raccoon dogs. Thus foxes sampled in the winter had 31% of the mean abundance recorded in the autumn (incidence rate ratio 0.31) whereas in winter-sampled raccoon dogs the mean abundance was reduced to 0.2% when compared to those sampled in the autumn (incidence rate ratio 0.002). This greater reduction in raccoon dogs is consistent with raccoon dogs being much less active than foxes in the winter, possibly due to hibernation in the area investigated. A similar pattern was seen in *A. alata* and *C. putorii* infection with a greater reduction in abundance seen in raccoon dogs. *Taenia* spp. was only reduced in the winter-sampled foxes, but very few raccoon dogs were infected with *Taenia* spp. so a valid comparison is difficult for these species. Likewise with *T. canis*, only the foxes had a reduced abundance in the winter. *Mesocostoides* spp. had no reduction between autumn and winter sampling times in either host species. This may be

because the parasite is longer lived in the host and animals were actually infected earlier in the season. *E. multilocularis* in contrast, has a life expectancy of between 60 and 90 days (Kapel *et al.* 2006) in both host species and therefore infections detected in the winter are likely to be the recent ones. *U. stenocephala* and particularly *C. vulpis*, had a marked increase in abundance in winter-sampled raccoon dogs compared to autumn-sampled animals. In foxes, all *Capillaria* spp. increased in the winter-sampled animals as did *C. vulpis*.

In this study, consisting largely of rural foxes, there was no decrease in abundance in *E. multilocularis* in relation to age. On the contrary, there was evidence of adult foxes having significantly more *E. multilocularis* than juveniles. In earlier studies, a lower abundance (Hofer *et al.* 2000; Raoul *et al.* 2001; Yimam *et al.* 2002) has been reported in older foxes. This result has been hypothesized as a possible effect of immunity in response to infection but ecological factors influencing age-dependent parasite transmission were not excluded. Indeed, in the present study rodents constituted a high proportion of stomach content in young and adult foxes documenting that foxes are heavily dependent on this food resource in the area investigated during the winter. In contrast, adult urban foxes in Switzerland were significantly more dependent on anthropogenic food as compared with juvenile foxes (Hegglin *et al.* 2007). Therefore, it can be hypothesized that the dependence on rodents as the major food source could strongly influence the helminth abundance in red foxes. However, in this case, intestinal immunity seems to play a minor epidemiological role in *E. multilocularis* infections in foxes.

A recent study from Switzerland has shown an increase of human AE cases following an increase in the fox population (Schweiger *et al.* 2007) and ongoing invasion of urban areas by foxes (Gloor *et al.* 2001; Deplazes *et al.* 2004). Unfortunately, there are no available data on the development of the fox population over the last 25 years in Lithuania. However, the high prevalence of *E. multilocularis* in red foxes is comparable to reports from high endemic areas of Central Europe (Romig *et al.* 2006). In this study, *E. multilocularis* was detected in almost all districts (13 of 16) examined. Furthermore, a high prevalence was detected in suburban areas of Kaunas, the second largest city in Lithuania with a population of 358 107 inhabitants, which is comparable to other European cities (Deplazes *et al.* 2004; Hegglin *et al.* 2007). Recent studies have suggested that human AE is an emerging disease in Lithuania (Bružinskaitė *et al.* 2007). This shows that the parasite must have been widely distributed in the fox population some 10–15 years earlier than when the cases were diagnosed in humans due to the long incubation period of the disease. However, it remains unclear if Lithuania was free of *E. multilocularis* in the past.

In 2003, *E. multilocularis* was described for the first time in 1 of the 5 muskrats in Lithuania (Mažeika *et al.* 2003). *E. multilocularis* has not been reported by previous authors who examined necropsied red foxes and raccoon dogs (Danilevičius, 1964; Kazlauskas and Prūsaitė, 1976). Danilevičius (1964), however, detected *E. granulosus* in 8 of 102 domestic dogs. While *E. multilocularis* was not documented at this time, the absence of the parasite in Lithuania before 2001, when the first infected fox was found (Bružinskaitė *et al.* 2007), is questionable. Possibly the prevalence of this parasite was very low.

Prevalences of more than 90% for *A. alata*, *U. stenocephala*, *C. aerophila* and *C. plica* in one or both of the investigated host species are amongst the highest reported in Europe (Shimalov and Shimalov, 2002, 2003; Davidson *et al.* 2006; Saeed and Kapel, 2006; Reperant *et al.* 2007). They are also higher than in the previous Lithuanian study where *A. alata*, *U. stenocephala*, *E. aerophilus* and *C. plica* were prevalent in 76·0%, 48·7%, 29·3% and 57·7% of red foxes, respectively (Kazlauskas and Prūsaitė, 1976). Such a difference may be related to variation of intermediate hosts and different feeding habits of definitive hosts.

Interestingly, some non-typical helminths—*Syphacia obvelata*, *Heligmosomum costellatum* and *Mastophorus muris* that have previously been detected in rodents in Lithuania (Arnastauskienė *et al.* 1981; Mažeika *et al.* 2003; Grikienienė, 2005) were found in the gastrointestinal tracts of investigated animals. Most of these helminths did not have a clear shape and were damaged, probably due to digestion. Therefore, it cannot be excluded that these vole parasites were intestinal passages.

Deep freezing at –80 °C, storing worms in 70% alcohol and overlapping sizes of taeniid hooks made some difficulties in identifying *Taenia* species morphologically. Therefore, we confirmed the identity of *Taenia* spp. by PCR. Additionally, we checked for the presence of eggs in the uterus of *T. taeniaeformis*, since this cestode normally infects cats. None of the *T. taeniaeformis* had eggs in the uterus suggesting that foxes were an accidental host, but more studies are required to prove this hypothesis.

The present study shows that both red foxes and raccoon dogs were highly infected with helminths including zoonotic species like *E. multilocularis*. However, red foxes were more frequently ($P < 0·0001$) infected when compared to raccoon dogs and, therefore, they probably play the most important role in transmission of this cestode in Lithuania.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

The study was supported by the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO, project TCP/LIT/3001 (T)); and the Swiss Baltic Net (GebertRufStiftung).

REFERENCES

- Abuladze, K. I. (1964). *Common Cestodology*, Vol 4. *Taeniidae–Tapeworms of Animals and Man and Causal Agents of their Illnesses*. Nauka, Moscow. (In Russian.)
- Arnastauskienė, T., Kazlauskas, J. and Maldžiūnaitė, S. (1981). Natural population of helminths in Common vole (*Microtus arvalis*) captured on pastures. *Acta Parasitologica Lituanica* **19**, 8–10. (In Russian.)
- Bagrade, G., Šnabel, V., Romig, T., Ozolinš, J., Hüttner, M., Miterpakova, M., Ševcova, D. and Dubinsky, P. (2008). *Echinococcus multilocularis* is a frequent parasite of red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Latvia. *Helminthology* **45**, 157–161.
- Baltrūnaitė, L. (2002). Diet composition of the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes* L.), pine marten (*Martes martes* L.) and raccoon dog (*Nyctereutes procyonoides* Gray) in clay plain landscape, Lithuania. *Acta Zoologica Lituanica* **12**, 362–368.
- Bowman, D. D. (1999). *Georgis' Parasitology for Veterinarians*, 7th Edn. Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Bružinskaitė, R., Marcinkutė, A., Strupas, K., Sokolovas, V., Deplazes, P., Mathis, A., Eddi, C. and Šarkūnas, M. (2007). Alveolar echinococcosis, Lithuania. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **13**, 1618–1619.
- Danilevičius, E. (1964). Echinococcosis in Lithuanian SSR and immunodiagnoses of Echinococcosis in pigs. Ph.D. thesis. Lithuanian Veterinary Institute, Kašiadorys, Lithuania. (In Lithuanian.)
- Davidson, R. K., Gjerde, B., Vikøren, T., Lillehaug, A. and Handeland, K. (2006). Prevalence of *Trichinella* larvae and extra-intestinal nematodes in Norwegian red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*). *Veterinary Parasitology* **136**, 307–316.
- Deplazes, P., Hegglin, D., Gloor, S. and Romig, T. (2004). Wilderness in the city: the urbanization of *Echinococcus multilocularis*. *Trends in Parasitology* **20**, 77–84.
- Eckert, J. and Deplazes, P. (2004). Biological, epidemiological, and clinical aspects of echinococcosis, a zoonosis of increasing concern. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **17**, 107–135.
- Giraudoux, P., Romig, T. and Eckert, J. (2001). Age determination of foxes. In *WHO/OIE Manual on Echinococcosis in Humans and Animals: a Public Health Problem and Global Concern*. (ed. Eckert, J., Gemmell, M. A., Meslin, F. X. and Pawlowski, Z. S.) World Organization for Animal Health, Paris, France.
- Gloor, S., Bontadina, F., Hegglin, D., Deplazes, P. and Breitenmoser, U. (2001). The rise of urban fox populations in Switzerland. *Mammalian Biology* **66**, 155–164.
- Grikienienė, J. (2005). Investigations into endoparasites of small mammals in the environs of lake Drūkšiai. *Acta Zoologica Lituanica* **15**, 109–114.
- Hegglin, D., Bontadina, F., Contesse, P., Gloor, S. and Deplazes, P. (2007). Plasticity of predation behaviour as a putative driving force for parasite life-cycle dynamics: the case of urban foxes and *Echinococcus multilocularis* tapeworm. *Functional Ecology* **21**, 552–560.
- Hofer, S., Gloor, S., Müller, U., Mathis, A., Hegglin, D. and Deplazes, P. (2000). High prevalence of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in urban red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and voles (*Arvicola terrestris*) in the city of Zürich, Switzerland. *Parasitology* **120**, 135–142.
- Hurnikova, Z., Miterpakova, M. and Chovancova, B. (2009). The important zoonoses in the protected areas of the Tatra National Park (TANAP). *Wiadomości Parazytologiczne* **55**, 395–398.
- Kapel, C. M., Torgerson, P. R., Thompson, R. C. A. and Deplazes, P. (2006). Reproductive potential of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in experimentally infected foxes, dogs, raccoon dogs and cats. *International Journal for Parasitology* **36**, 79–86.
- Kazlauskas, J. and Prūsaitė, J. (1976). Helminths of carnivores (Carnivora) in Lithuania. *Acta Parasitologica Lituanica* **14**, 33–40. (In Russian.)
- Kowalczyk, R. (2006). NOBANIS – Invasive alien species fact sheet – *Nyctereutes procyonoides*. Online database of the north European and Baltic network on invasive species – NOBANIS. www.nobanis.org
- Machnicka-Rowinska, B., Rocki, B., Dziemian, E. and Kolodziej-Sobocinska, M. (2002). Raccoon dog (*Nyctereutes procyonoides*) – the new host of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in Poland. *Wiadomości Parazytologiczne* **48**, 65–68.
- Malakauskas, A., Paulauskas, V., Jarvis, T., Keidans, P., Eddi, C. and Kapel, C. M. (2007). Molecular epidemiology of *Trichinella* spp. in three Baltic countries: Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia. *Parasitology Research* **100**, 687–693.
- Mažeika, V., Paulauskas, A. and Balčiauskas, L. (2003). New data on the helminth fauna of rodents in Lithuania. *Acta Zoologica Lituanica* **13**, 41–47.
- Moks, E., Saarma, U. and Valdmann, H. (2005). *Echinococcus multilocularis* in Estonia. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **11**, 1973–1974.
- Prūsaitė, J., Mažeikytė, R., Pauža, D., Paužienė, N., Baleišis, R., Juškaitis, R., Mickus, A., Grušas, A., Skeiveris, R., Bluzma, P., Bielova, O., Baranauskas, K., Mačionis, A., Balčiauskas, L. and Janulaitis, Z. (1988). *Lithuanian fauna*. Mokslas, Vilnius. (In Lithuanian.)
- Raoul, F., Deplazes, P., Nonaka, N., Piarroux, R., Vuitton, D. A. and Giraudoux, P. (2001). Assessment of the epidemiological status of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in foxes in France using ELISA coprotests on fox faeces collected in the field. *International Journal for Parasitology* **31**, 1579–1588.
- Reperant, L. A., Hegglin, D., Fischer, C., Kohler, L., Weber, J. M. and Deplazes, P. (2007). Influence of urbanizations on the epidemiology of intestinal helminths of red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Geneva, Switzerland. *Parasitology Research* **101**, 605–611.
- Romig, T., Dinkel, A. and Mackenstedt, U. (2006). The present situation of echinococcosis in Europe. *Parasitology International* **55**, 187–191.
- Saeed, I. S. and Kapel, C. M. O. (2006). Population dynamics and epidemiology of *Toxocara canis* in Danish red foxes. *Journal of Parasitology* **92**, 1196–1201.
- Schwarz, S., Sutor, A., Staubach, C., Mattis, R., Tackmann, K. and Conraths, F. J. (2011). Estimated prevalence of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in raccoon dogs (*Nyctereutes procyonoides*) in northern Brandenburg, Germany. *Current Zoology* (in the Press).
- Schweiger, A., Ammann, R. W., Candinas, D., Clavien, P. A., Eckert, J., Gottstein, B., Halkic, N., Muellhaupt, B., Prinz, B. M., Reichen, J., Tarr, P. E., Torgerson, P. R. and Deplazes, P. (2007). Human alveolar echinococcosis after fox population increase, Switzerland. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **13**, 878–882.
- Sheldon, J. W. (1992). *Wild dogs: The Natural History of the Nondomestic Canidae*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA.
- Shimalov, V. V. and Shimalov, V. T. (2002). Helminth fauna of the raccoon dog (*Nyctereutes procyonoides* Gray, 1834) in Belorussian Polesie. *Parasitology Research* **88**, 944–945.
- Shimalov, V. V. and Shimalov, V. T. (2003). Helminth fauna of the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes* Linnaeus, 1758) in southern Belarus. *Parasitology Research* **89**, 77–78.
- Skrjabin, K. I. (1947). *Trematodes of Animals and Man*, Vol 1. AN SSSR. Moscow, Leningrad. (In Russian.)
- Skrjabin, K. I. (1948). *Trematodes of Animals and Man*, Vol 2. AN SSSR. Moscow, Leningrad. (In Russian.)
- Skrjabin, K. I., Shichovaliova, N. P. and Lagodovskaja, E. A. (1960). *Essentials of Helminthology*. Vol. III. AN SSSR, Moscow, Leningrad. (In Russian.)
- Soulsby, E. J. L. (1982). *Helminths, Arthropods and Protozoa of Domesticated Animals*, 7th Edn. Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, PA, USA.
- Sréter, T., Széll, Z., Marucci, G., Pozio, E. and Varga, I. (2003). Extraintestinal nematode infections of red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Hungary. *Veterinary Parasitology* **115**, 329–34.
- Thompson, R. C. A., Kapel, C. M., Hobbs, R. P. and Deplazes, P. (2006). Comparative development of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in its definitive hosts. *Parasitology* **132**, 709–716.
- Trachsel, D., Deplazes, P. and Mathis, A. (2007). Identification of taeniid eggs in the faeces from carnivores based on multiplex PCR using targets in mitochondrial DNA. *Parasitology* **134**, 911–920.
- Yimam, A. E., Nonaka, N., Oku, Y. and Kamiya, M. (2002). Prevalence and intensity of *Echinococcus multilocularis* in red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes schrencki*) and raccoon dogs (*Nyctereutes procyonoides albus*) in Otaru City, Hokkaido, Japan. *Japanese Journal for Veterinary Research* **49**, 287–296.
- Verster, A. (1969). A taxonomic revision of the genus *Taenia* Linnaeus, 1758 S. Str. Onderstepoort. *Journal of Veterinary Research* **36**, 3–58.
- Ward, O. G. and Wurster-Hill, D. H. (1990). Mammalian species: *Nyctereutes procyonoides*. *The American Society of Mammalogists* **358**, 1–5.